

Entity Alignment for Knowledge Graphs in the Context of Supply Chain Risk Management

Rebeka Gadzo Humboldt University Berlin gadzo.rebeka@gmail.com Dr. Yushan Liu Siemens AG yushan.liu@siemens.com





Agenda

1. Introduction

- a. Enhancing Supply Chain Risk Management
- b. Introduction to Entity Alignment

2. Entity Alignment

- a. Data Overview
- b. Schema Comparison
- c. Data Preparation

3. Implementation

- a. Method 1 Dedupe
- b. Method 2 Ditto
- c. Method 3 GPT 3.5
- d. Framework

4. Results

- a. Evaluation
- b. Graph Expansion



Introduction Enhancing Supply Chain Risk Management

Motivation

Crucial during economic, health, and political crises

Need for risk prediction algorithms for effective risk mitigation

Approach

Integration of macroeconomic information into supply chain data enhances risk assessment Develop a framework based on real-world scenario applicable to various use cases



Introduction Entity Alignment

ßß

Entity alignment is the process of linking corresponding entities across different knowledge graphs or databases to establish connections and improve data integration.





Entity Alignment Siemens Supply Chain

- Siemens stores its Supply Chain data as Knowledge Graph
- Contains over 60'000 suppliers
- 11 node types in total
- No risk data included



SIFMFNS

Entity Alignment CoyPu Knowledge Graph

Cognitive Economy Intelligence Platform for the Resilience of Economic Ecosystems (CoyPu)

- 1. **Events and Incidents** (Demonstration, Disaster, Explosion...)
- 2. Geographical Entities (Airport, City, Continent, Country...)
- 3. **Business and Industry** (Company, Commodity, Industry Sector, Material...)
- 4. Media and Information (News, WikiNews...)



CoyPu Ontology: https://schema.coypu.org/global/2.2

Page 6 © Siemens 2024 | Rebeka Gadzo & Yushan Liu | 2024-05-27



Entity Alignment Schema Comparison - Supplier Matching

Siemens Data	CoyPu Data
Country	Country
Business Scope	Exiobase Industry
Component	Product
Substance	Material/Commodity
Supplier	Company



Entity Alignment Data Preparation

- Focus on three countries
- Company name, city name and legal form
- Geolocation
- Company names translation





Implementation Method 1 - Dedupe

ßß

Dedupe Python Library uses machine learning to perform fuzzy matching, deduplication and entity alignment quickly on structured data.

1. Step: Blocking	Predicate Blocking, Index Blocking
2. Step: Matching	Levenshtein text distance
3. Step: Human Input	Optional optimization for difficult matches



Implementation Method 2 - Ditto (Deep Entity Matching with Pre-Trained Language Models)

ßß

Ditto is an entity alignment solution based on pre-trained language models such as BERT





Implementation Method 3 - GPT 3.5 Turbo

GG

Third-Generation Generative Pre-Trained Transformer model that understands and generates natural language or code and has been optimized for chat tasks and performs well for non-chat tasks as well.

- Configured to work as entity matcher: Utilizes system messages for configuration
- Configured to give confidence level output: Utilizes system messages for configuration
- Candidate pair input via user messages: User inputs are processed to identify companies
- Cost-efficient approach: Not fine-tuned due to cost constraints



Implementation Framework for Entity Alignment between Knowledge Graphs







Results Evaluation

Total population of $750 \rightarrow 273$ positive matches, 477 negative matches

	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1
Dedupe	86.93%	83.27%	80.22%	81.72%
Ditto	82.80%	69.15%	95.24%	80.12%
GPT	90.27%	89.37%	83.15%	86.15%

Results across all countries

	Siemens Suppliers	Matched Suppliers	Percentage	
Germany	4'184	2'341	55.95%	
US	3'819	452	11.84%	
China	3'374	224	6.64%	
Total	11'377	3'017	26.52%	

Dedupe's matches per country



Results New Supplier's Properties



Many thanks for your attention!



Let's discuss..



Page 16 © Siemens 2024 | Rebeka Gadzo & Yushan Liu | 2024-05-27

Appendix



Appendix Results for each country

GER	True Pos.	False Neg.	True Neg.	False Pos.	Acc.%	Prec.%	Recall%	F1%
Dedupe	71	25	131	4	87.45	94.67	73.96	83.04
Ditto	93	3	104	31	85.28	75.00	96.88	84.55
GPT-3	88	8	121	14	90.48	86.27	91.67	88.89
50 53	Pos	s.=96	Neg.=135		Total=231			
USA	True Pos.	False Neg.	True Neg.	False Pos.	Acc.%	Prec.%	Recall%	F1%
Dedupe	100	16	149	2	93.26	98.04	86.21	91.74
Ditto	111	5	145	6	95.88	94.87	95.69	95.28
GPT-3	93	23	142	9	88.01	91.18	80.17	85.32
	Pos.=116		Neg.=151		Total=267			
CHN	True Pos.	False Neg.	True Neg.	False Pos.	Acc.%	Prec.%	Recall%	F1%
Dedupe	48	13	153	38	79.76	55.81	78.69	65.31
Ditto	56	5	112	79	66.67	41.48	91.80	57.14
GPT-3	46	15	187	4	92.46	92.00	75.41	82.88
	Pos.=61		Neg.	=191	Tota	 =252		

Results per Country



Supplier Matching Germany



Siemens Suppliers



CoyPu Suppliers